

KEEPING QUALITY EMPLOYEES WITH A QUALITY STRATEGY IMPROVEMENT BY KEY DECISION MAKING MANAGERS

Dr. Nasser Fegh-hi Farahmand

Associate Professor, Dept., of Management, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 10 May 2016;

Received in revised form:
29 May 2016;

Accepted: 29 May 2016;

Published online: 30 Jun 2016.

Key words:

Leadership Style,
Supportive Work,
Environment Improvement.

ABSTRACT

For organizations to be keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, they had to improve their working environment and delegate their employees more authorities by key decision making managers. However, this study concluded that the performance of the organization is highly affected by its keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and qualified manager's creativity. The aim of the study was to study about the impact of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy on creating a sustainable competitive advantage in the key decision making managers. The current study was based on empirical approach. A random sample of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement was drawn from seven organizations. The importance of strategic, long-term policy and keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement is very clear to planners. Keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers like to follow similar and routine keeping quality employees with a quality strategy behavioral pattern. This paper reviews organizational keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and keeping quality employees with a quality strategy measurement literature. The analysis and studies show that there existed positive relationship between keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, management perception, customer involvement, keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvement information and creating a sustainable competitive advantage. Based on the results a number of recommendations were proposed and suggestions for future studies were made.

Copyright © 2016 IJASRD. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Common Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The A self administrated questionnaire was employed to collect the required data. A number of hypotheses were formulated for this purpose. This article attempts to explain the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement by key decision making managers by patterns of thinking. The keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvement characteristics show a significant association with a commitment to keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and key decision making managers showed a positive association with that key decision making managers with a growth orientation. It is concluded that keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement characteristics can be important in explaining and compilation the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers within the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement.

The analysis and studies show that there existed positive relationship between keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, management perception, customer involvement, keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvement information and creating a sustainable competitive advantage. Based on the results a number of recommendations were proposed and suggestions for future studies were made. Contribution is the kind in the region that tackles in a specific way the impact of strategy in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement, management perception and support for the process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, perception and involvement in the process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and strategy in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement information, on the potential of creating a sustainable competitive advantage for qualified manager's institutions.

In spite of this general awareness, such long-term keeping quality employees with a quality strategy, strategic-level planning of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy has been lacking in most organizations. Organizations keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement successful at implementing improvement develops detailed action plans chronological lists of action steps which add the necessary detail to their strategies. Moreover, assign responsibility to a specific individual for accomplishing each of those action steps. In addition, they set a due date and estimate the resources required to accomplish each of their action steps. Thus, they translate their broad improvement statement into a number of specific work assignments.

The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy field are now giving high priority to developing keeping quality employees with a quality strategy metrics. The role of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy is to implement keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. Effective keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement are one of the important factors in organizations success.

METHODS

2.1 Keeping Quality Employees with a Quality Strategy Improvement

There is a keeping quality employee with a quality strategy manager who argues that formal written planning may be inappropriate for the organizations but this seems a

minority view. It can be argued that keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement is as important to organizations. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement should be the primary determinant of an organization's keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making manager's synergy with keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers' framework. A fundamental proposition in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement is that it must be aligned with customers and competitive advantage. Unfortunately, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement performance measurement literature has provided ambiguous guidance to keeping quality employees with a quality strategy manager. In organizations, where a keeping quality employee with a quality strategy improvement exists, the preparation of the keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers may have been driven by external forces. The most obvious of these are the requirements of external agencies providing funding for either start up or expansion. However, the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement may serve as a strategic planning document for the managers, entrepreneurs and educated workers, a plan to guide the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy and serve as a basis for taking strategic decisions and also it may serve as a subsequent monitoring device. In an environment characterized by high-velocity change, short product life cycles, mass customization, narrowing customer niches, the successful integration of technological and keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvement capabilities for a given product conveys little long term strategic advantage to organizations.

The strategically aligned framework for clearer logic behind actions for more appropriate keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers synergy with keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers' should result in less internal conflict. The performance portfolio that discriminates between performance measures in order to avoid suboptimal performance. Key decision making managers need clarity in determining the difference between efficient and effective performance measures. The framework that will provide keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement qualified manager's synergy with keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers' guidance. In other words, improving one performance measure can adversely affect other performance measures where a comprehensive framework is not used. The set of guidelines to ensure keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement qualified manager's synergy with keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers' synergies are achieved in the targeting of high and low customer lifetime value segments.

The integration between market segmentation improvement and keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers synergy with keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers' should enhanced. By means of this argumentation, it is shown that keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement fulfils all requirements that are needed to talk about strategic valuable resources in the perspective of the organization. Further, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement generates the benefit of reducing the probability of entry of competitors and a jointly enhanced market reputation. An

appropriately managed keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement constitutes a crucial factor for success in the market. This is not just a hypothesis but an often-proved fact in day-to-day business. Requirements such as uniqueness and immobility could be proven as fulfilled. The most important aspect of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement due to immobility is the featuring of an inherent isolation mechanism which results from the essential partnership with the organization. This feature is directly linked to the not respectively heavily imitable condition of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. The organizational keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers with various network partners is critically important for keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers. Synergistic effects of the cooperation of various network participants also constitute a critical determinant for success. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement therefore cannot be imitated without the interdependences within the cooperating companies.

However, that is where path independency ensues. In order to be effective, a final demand on competitive efficient resources is needed, which is the claim for not being substitutable. As seen previously, substitution of strategic relevant resources faces different barriers and difficulties which originate in organizational routines. Keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement cannot be substituted because of the brand-specific effects. The final outcome of this argumentation is that keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement has to be seen as strategic valuable resources. However, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement would be void without appropriate management. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement are proven to be a strategic resource and therefore a core competence which requires the management of such.

Keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers are proven to be core competencies due to the constant key decision making managers of advantages. This begins as the brand develops and continues to the managing and controlling phases and finally until the adjustment to new market requirements. One area of brand managerial responsibility is the relationship with the downstream partners.. This is an additional competence in contrast to end-user or business brand management that is demanded. It is not only keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers that require brand management competency, but also keeping quality employees with a quality strategy manager, who would require some more specific skills, because of its complexity. Keeping quality employees with a quality strategy management would then accomplish the required attributes which are generally made on core competences. With this paper we could illustrate, that, in the case of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy management, the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers is an adequate management theory to explain the phenomenon keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement as a strategic resource and therefore core competence.

As discussed in the introduction, research broached the issue of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers over a few

decades without developing a management model or any useable approach that allowed transferring insights from research to real business. In many related disciplines, research had provided explanations of business phenomena which built the centre of improvement development and in the end to a derivation of action alternatives. This was the missing factor in the case of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers.

Further research should close this gap by first developing a theoretical basis which should involve all aspects of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers such as the network, the information exchange, the partnership relation, the interaction of different brand profiles and so on. Without such a theoretical framework, the development of management strategies has to stay out of stable basic. In order to achieve keeping quality employees with a quality strategy success, it is important to understand the relationship between keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement planning by keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers and improvement deployment success.

2.2 Keeping Quality Employees with a Quality Strategy Managers

As management itself becomes more emphatically fast-paced and intuitive, in order to deal with complexity and unpredictability, research is beginning to accumulate showing that coaching formats used in management support are more effective than training in the older logical comprehensive pursuits. A central motivation for this has been the public uneasiness towards many of the applications of gene organizations technology, as well as the general distrust of the public towards officials, scientists and representatives of organizations in the management of risks.

The strategy process in presenting new key decision making managers products has become an antecedent condition to enhance the growth of the qualified manager's institutions and face the imposed threats and pressure from the external environment. The importance of a organization's external environment stems from the fact that a organization's strategy process is embedded in an environmental context.

Furthermore, as qualified manager's offerings are hard to be distinguished among competitors, it is argued that qualified manager's institutions should use the process of strategy as a platform to achieve unduplicated competitive advantage. This may occur through the continuous screening of a organization internal resources in order to identify their weaknesses and strengths and based on that, the organization might be able to develop dynamic resources and capabilities which are characterized. The nature of the keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers is seen as critical in other aspects of the activities of organization. A selection of the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy manager is the potential to influence an organization propensity to undertake keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement factors key decision making managers. Moreover, in order to foster strategy and enhance organizations' performance in the key decision making managers, organizations are required to increase their reliance on the external knowledge through extending their knowledge milieu. This, however, may contribute in upgrading the learning process of the organization in question and increase its ability on creating a sustainable competitive advantage.

The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy is also required to decrease organization competitors' ability and capacity to imitate and to increase casual ambiguity. Based on that, key decision making managers institution might achieve a superior advantage and performance due to the better understanding of customer needs and this in return, will raise the bar of competition and enable the strategy organization to tailor a distinguished keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement mix, unlike competitors.

Therefore, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement mix strategy represents a strategic vision for key decision making managers institutions which depend on a strategic ideology as a way to planning their future key decision making managers activities.

As a result of that, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement mix strategy might help organizations in mitigating the turbulence of the external environment and lead organizations to be pioneer in their field. Predictions of the direction in which the variables will operate are inevitably problematic as there is little prior work on the determinants of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement upon which keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers can draw by:

- Qualified manager's ability: This variable identified as important in a number of studies.
- Key decision making manager's experience. It may be strongly linked to ability and it could be argued that it might work in two ways. A long number of years running an organization as keeping quality employees with a quality strategy manager might increase a propensity to plan future directions for the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy or indeed, once the initial phases had passed and funding secured planning might well be less of a priority.

The changing view of organization's strategic vision regarding keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and creativity and the incremental investment in the organization has also contributed widely in overcoming one of the sever problems that faces many organizations. This particular problem is related the inability to secure a company's market share and maintain market presence. The presence of pioneering organizations is highly remarkable in the business environment due to the speed in improving existing products and the introduction of new and novel products to the market.

2.3 Keeping Quality Employees with a Quality Strategy Manager's Performance

The process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy may also reflect the exemplification of organization ability to use uncommon and nontraditional ways to achieve or produce certain thing which basically contain the characteristics of originality. Other scholars referred to the process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy as the organization's early adoption/usage of new ideas in comparison to competitors in a specific industry. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and creativity are the key success for organizations in business environment, particularly in strategic planning for future growth and for developing new products and services. The keeping

quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy reflects the organization ability to improve products/services continuously, which lead to achieve huge and new benefits to its clients and satisfy their needs in a unique way. This in return, may result in creating a competitive advantage for the organization in question through identifying needs and translating them into technical specifications and distinguishing the organization from its competitors by making the organization presence remarkable. The authors also refers to the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy process as the continuous improvements of the organizational learning process and conducting new and modern keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement activities and practices which are superior compared to the traditional ones.

In order to understand whether the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers is performing or not, organization need to ensure that the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers is appropriate for each keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. In response to this research gap, can investigates whether keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers should differ according to keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. Key decision making managers were asked whether or not they had formal keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers for their organization and the period of time to which it applied. Over half keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers had no such plan which fits well with the common perception of the lack of planning in small organization. Clearly, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement is not a feature of the majority organization, at least not within this sample of organization within this location.

The characteristics of the keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers of the sample organization are reviewed. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers ranged in ability from low to high. In view the ability of most of the key decision making managers, just over half had been controlling their organization for five or more years. Their formal educational levels tended to be high. Amongst these keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers, a distinction could be drawn between and those for whom their current keeping quality employees with a quality strategy was their first organization and the majority were novice keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers. Regardless of the educated workers, a significant number had gained organizing before setting up their own organization. They can be contrasted with the remainder of the sample group who had been working more directly in production. A striking feature of these organizations perhaps not surprising in organization based mainly on traditional industries is that 61 percent of the keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers had grown up in industrial area.

Clearly, within this group, there is a sub set of growth oriented key decision making managers whose propensity to undertake keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers might be contrasted with those who were content with their current level of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy. The latter may well belong to that group of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers often characterized as running lifestyle organization.

From this overview of the selected keeping quality employees with a quality strategy manager's characteristics and the strategies of the sampled organization, it is now possible

to explore the extent to which these differing characteristics and strategies influence whether or not an organization engages in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. For key decision making managers, the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement performance measurement is an area that represents a significant opportunity for business investment and management attention.

The interdisciplinary conceptual model will provide guidance to keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers in developing contextually relevant keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement measures. It is important to stress that this study is confined to a sample of the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers of organization in one part of the area of market potential. Further, the characteristics which have been measured can be grouped into environmental and keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvement variables rather than those variables which measure attributes of the personality of the keeping quality employees with quality strategy managers. It is also recognized that the relationships only significant at a relatively low level but this reflects, in part, the small size of our initial sample. As discussed in the introduction, research broached the issue of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers over a few decades without developing a management model or any useable approach that allowed transferring insights from research to real business. In many related disciplines, research had provided explanations of business phenomena which built the centre of improvement development and in the end to a derivation of action alternatives. This was the missing factor in the case of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers. Further research should close this gap by first developing a theoretical basis which should involve all aspects of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers such as the network, the information exchange, the partnership relation, the interaction of different brand profiles and so on. Without such a theoretical framework, the development of management strategies has to stay out of stable basic. In order to achieve keeping quality employees with a quality strategy success, it is important to understand the relationship between keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement planning by keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers and improvement deployment success. The latter may well belong to that group of key decision making managers often characterized as running lifestyle organization. From this overview of the selected qualified manager's managers' characteristics and the strategies of the sampled organization, it is now possible to explore the extent to which these differing characteristics and strategies influence whether or not an organization engages in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement.

2.4 Keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement factors

The concept of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy should contain characteristics as fluency, flexibility, originality, problem sensation and realization and elaboration. Moreover, the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy may represent a weapon of differentiation, novelty, new combination, top first move and the ability to discovering new opportunities. In addition the types and the importance of, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy

improvement strategy according to product types, organization types, the aim of , keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and customer types and nature. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that requirements should be taken into consideration in the process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, namely; managerial and organizational requirements; requirements regarding the individuals who work in both keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement, requirements regarding the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement information and regarding the benefits of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and creativity. Organizations keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement successful at improvement key decision making managers effectively manages six key supporting factors:

Those successful keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvements at implementing improvement give thought to their organizational structure. The reason the organization had been unable to develop those products was simple. Lacking the necessary commitment for new product development, management did not establish an R;D group. Rather, it assigned its manufacturing engineering group the job of new product development and hired two junior engineers for the task. Since the primary function of the organizational engineering group was to keep the organization humming, those engineers kept being pulled off their new product or services projects and into the role of the manufacturing support.

Organizations successful keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement at improvement key decision making managers considers the human resource factor in making strategies happen. Further, they realize that the human resource issue is really a two-part story. First, consideration of human resources requires that management think about the organization's communication needs. That they articulate the strategies so that those charged with developing the corresponding action steps fully understand the improvement they are to implement.

Monitoring and controlling the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement plan includes a periodic look to see if you are on course. It also includes consideration of options to get improvement once derailed back on track. Those options about keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement include changing the schedule, changing the action steps and tactics, changing the improvement or as a last resort changing the objective. It is not enough to manage one, two or a few improvement supporting factors. To successfully implement your strategies, organizations have gone to manage them all. Moreover, make sure you link them together. In the key decision making managers, strategy products represent the organization's ability to innovate and present new and novel product or develop existing products to satisfy client needs. This could be done through the use and adoption of new technology, keeping quality employees with a quality strategy information technology and the internet. Strategy, in key decision making managers, may also represent the introduction of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy information technology such as balance from different parts of the world. For the qualified manager's institutions to be strategy, they are required to create a keeping quality employees with a quality strategy communication in which information is collected from multiple sources, analyzed, understood and acted on in order to foster strategy. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy in the key decision making

managers improves the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy of the qualified manager's products, increases flexibility to be effective and compresses time to market.

2.5 Keeping Quality Employees with a Quality Strategy Improvement

The benefits of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy in the key decision making managers depends on the perceived value of the key decision making managers products and hence, strategy organizations which continuously improve their key decision making managers products would result in enhancing the organization's reputation, corporate image and the perceived value of the product. Thus, the organization can offer the product at a higher price, achieve greater market share and, thereby, maximize its sales revenues accelerating product development. The concept of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy from a qualified manager's perspective has been given far less attention. Specifically, as far as the keeping quality employees with quality strategy improvement knowledge are concerned that focus on evaluating the impact of the strategy process on key decision making managers particularly. Therefore, the purpose is to evaluate the extent to which keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy may help organizations on creating a sustainable competitive advantage. The central mission of organizations activities under the enlightenment model is to raise the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making manager's level of the organization. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement by key decision making managers is possible by organizational keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement mission. The mission of organization is keeping quality employees with a quality strategy instrumental is an endemic needed for increasing keeping quality employees with a quality strategy effective communication. Thus, the inclusion of the in the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy structures of organization decision-making is neither principally refuted nor taken as a point of departure. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy person's empowerment of sustainable decision-making is core values, to which increasing public participation is though to be a most appropriate means. Keeping in view these broad objectives, it is essential to spell out an key decision making managers keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers that will enable identification of specific plans, programs and projects, with clearly defined tasks, estimates of necessary resources, and time targets.

Suitable mechanism will be evolved by which independent inputs on science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and planning are obtained on a continuous basis from a wide cross section of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. It will utilize the academies and specialized professional bodies for this purpose. These inputs will form an integral part of the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy planning and key decision making managers of all programs relating to science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement, as also in government decision making and formulation of policies in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy sectors. The greater integration of the programs in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy with science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement activities will go a long way in ensuring a

wider, more visible and tangible impact. This will call for a certain percentage of the overall allocation of each of the science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement to be devoted for relevant programs.

The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers is necessary to infuse a new sense of dynamism in our science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. The science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement departments, agencies and other academic institutions, including universities i.e. the science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement system as a whole, would be substantially strengthened, given full autonomy and flexibility, and de-bureaucratized. It will be ensured that all highly keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers are run by science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. All the major keeping quality employees with a quality strategy planning will have high-level scientific advisory mechanisms.

2.6 Keeping Quality Employees with a Quality Strategy Improvement by Key Decision Making Managers

Organization keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement will ensure continued existence of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement by key decision making managers which will assist in formulating and implementing various programs and policies. It will have appropriate representation of organization leaders, leading science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and various scientific departments.

Organization will make necessary commitments for higher education and science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. It will, through its own resources and also through contribution by organization, raise the level of investment on science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement by the end of the plan. For this, it is essential for organization to steeply increase its investments in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers. Science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement is advancing at a very fast pace, and obsolescence of physical keeping quality employees with a quality strategy infrastructure, as also of skills and competence, take place rapidly.

A major initiative to modernize the infrastructure for science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement in organization will be undertaken. Science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement department in organization will be selected for special support to raise the standard of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy research. To begin with, a significant number of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy person, as also keeping quality employees with quality strategy engineering, would be selected for this support to make an impact. Flexible mechanisms for induction of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement in key areas of science would be developed. The setting up of more efficient funding mechanisms will be examined, either by creating new structures or by strengthening or restructuring the existing ones, for promotion of basic research in science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. In particular, administrative and financial procedures will

be simplified to permit efficient operation of research programs in diverse institutions across the country.

The number of supportive works environment and keeping quality employees with a quality strategy technologists, while being large in absolute numbers is not commensurate with the requirements in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy and when measured on a per capita basis. The demand is bound to increase in the coming years with more intensive activities involving science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. There is need to progressively increase the rate of generation of high keeping quality employees with a quality strategy skilled at all levels. This process would naturally entail reversing the present flow of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy talent away from science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement by strategy schemes. For building up the science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement base in relevant areas, the agencies and departments concerned with science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement will make available substantial funding from their allocation. Flexible keeping quality employees with quality strategy mechanisms will be put in place in organization and organizational environment to enable keeping quality employees with quality strategy researchers to change fields and bring new inputs into traditional disciplines.

A strong base of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement provides a crucial foundation for a vibrant program of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement development. Priority will be placed on the development of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement which address the basic needs of the population; make organizational competitive and make the economically keeping quality employees with a quality strategy strong. Special emphasis will be placed on equity in development, so that the benefits of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement growth reach the majority of the population, particularly the disadvantaged sections, leading to improved keeping quality employees with a quality strategy of life for every citizen of the organization. These aspects require science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement foresight, which involves not only forecasting and assessment of technologies but also their organization and organizational environment environmental consequences.

The science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement will be launched to develop strategy science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement of a breakthrough nature; and to increase our share of high-tech products. Aggressive international benchmarking will be carried out. Simultaneously, efforts will be made to strengthen traditional industry so as to meet the new requirements of competition through the use of appropriate science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. This organization is particularly important as it provides employment at lower per capita investment, involves low energy inputs, and carries with it unique civilization traditions and culture. Value addition and creation of wealth through reassessment, redistribution and repositioning of our intellectual, capital and material resource will be achieved through effective use of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement.

Innovation will be supported in all its aspects. A comprehensive science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement system will be created covering science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement as also legal, financial and other related aspects. There is need to change the ways in which keeping quality employees with a quality strategy performs, if innovation has to fructify. Every effort will be made to achieve synergy between science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and scientific research. Increased encouragement will be given, and flexible mechanisms will be evolved to help, science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement to transfer the know-how generated by them to the industry and be a partner in receiving the financial returns. Organization will be encouraged to financially adopt or support educational and research institutions, fund courses of interest to them, create professional chairs etc. to help direct organization towards tangible organizational goals.

The science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement knowledge would be further developed and harnessed for the purpose of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy generation. Development of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement adds value to organizational resources and which provide holistic and optimal solutions. Science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement has an important role in any general improvement to address the problems of management of the impacts of natural hazards. A concerted action plan to keeping quality employees with a quality strategy enhances predictive capabilities and preparedness for meeting emergencies will be drawn up. Measures will be undertaken to promote research on natural phenomena that lead to science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement activities that aggravate them. This will be with a view to developing practical science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement.

There is growing need to enhance public awareness of the importance of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement in everyday life, and the directions where science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement is taking us. Organization must be able to consider the implications of emerging science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. The science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement development can benefit greatly by cooperation and collaboration. Common goals can be effectively addressed by pooling both material and intellectual resources. Science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement programs will be encouraged between organizations. Effective science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and reviewing mechanisms will be significantly strengthened, and wherever not available will be put in place. It will be ensured that the scientific community is involved in, and responsible for, smooth and speedy key decision making managers.

There was a significant relationship between keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement and qualified manager's use to the strategy and creativity. For example, a divisional keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement calling for development of a new product or service should driven by a corporate objective calling for growth, perhaps and on knowledge of available resources capital resources

available from corporate as well as human and technological resources in the key decision making managers department.

More specifically, in the key decision making managers, the business key decision making managers environment has become highly complex, competitive and dynamic. The complexity of this environment stems from the fierce competition, the deregulation policy, the removal of restrictions between banks, building societies and insurance companies and the vast expansion in the adoption and use of information technologies. This in return has created unprecedented challenges in developing and presenting new service products which are highly successful and competitive. Such complexity has also influenced the used applications and techniques in producing and keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement such products. Therefore, qualified manager's institutions are trying more than any other time to create a sustainable competitive advantage compared to other competitors in order to secure their market share and enhance their presence in the key decision making managers market. It guides the manager in a way that avoids the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers synergy with keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers' which results in sub-optimization of the performance measurement portfolio.

Linkages, which are horizontal across departments, across regional offices, across manufacturing plants or divisions, require coordination and cooperation to get the organizational units all playing in harmony. For example, a keeping quality employee with a quality strategy improvement calling for introduction of a new product or service requires the combined efforts and thus coordination and cooperation among the key decision making managers, the keeping quality employees with a quality strategy, and the manufacturing departments. Their formal educational levels tended to be high. Amongst these key decision making managers, a distinction could be drawn between and those for whom their current keeping quality employees with a quality strategy was their first organization and the majority were novice keeping quality employees with a quality strategy managers. Regardless of the educated workers, a significant number had gained organizing before setting up their own organization. They can be contrasted with the remainder of the sample group who had been working more directly in production. Clearly, within this group, there is a sub set of growth oriented key decision making managers whose propensity to undertake keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement key decision making managers might be contrasted with those who were content with their current level of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy.

RESULTS

The qualified management support, independency and low organizational barriers had a significant positive effect on increasing organization ability to keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement. For organizations to be keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, they had to improve their working environment and delegate their employees more authorities by key decision making managers. However, concluded that the performance of the organization is highly affected by its keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and

qualified manager's creativity. The keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement pay a significant attention regarding the introduction of new products and developing existing products, however, these qualified organizations did not pay much attention to the ideas that was considered strange for the first glance. The strategy process in presenting new key decision making managers products has become an antecedent condition to enhance the growth of the qualified manager's institutions and face the imposed threats and pressure from the external environment. The importance of organization's external environment stems from the fact that a organization's strategy process is embedded in an environmental context. In particular, the tackles in a specific way the impact of strategy in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement, management perception and support for the process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy, customer perception and involvement in the process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy and strategy in keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement information, on the potential of creating a sustainable competitive advantage for key decision making managers institutions. Additionally, the existing knowledge by drawing and systematically synthesizing literature from disparate keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement disciplines, thus, develops a approach.. This approach is designed and developed to measure the impact of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement strategy on creating a sustainable competitive advantage.

DISCUSSION

The process of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement knowledge development requires the acquisition of useful information, the dissemination of the acquired knowledge and its effective utilization in organizations' strategy activities. The ability of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement knowledge acquisition and utilization were decisive for strategy activities and success of key decision making managers institutions. In addition, a significant correlation of keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement knowledge acquisition, dissemination and utilization with the key decision making managers intensity and strategy performance. The difficulty in long-term keeping quality employees with a quality strategy planning is also due to the rapid and unpredictable evolution of science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy, making it very hazardous to forecast development beyond a period. Keeping quality employees with a quality strategy planning in organizations acquired an impetus with long-term policy statements, such as keeping quality employees with a quality strategy vision. A science keeping quality employees with a quality strategy vision provide the wanted scenario to strive for, the end point of a long-term policy. However, the qualified manager's vision must be accompanied by a roadmap to allow the journey which starts now, to reach the required destination in the future. Steps will be taken to network the existing infrastructure, investments and intellectual strengths, wherever they exist, to achieve effective and optimal utilization, and constantly upgrade them to meet changing needs. Keeping quality employees with quality strategy strategies require linkage both vertically and horizontally. Vertical linkages establish coordination and support between corporate, divisional and departmental plans.

REFERENCES

- [1] Christensen, C.M. and J.L. Bower, (1996). Customer power, strategic investment and the failure of leading organizations. *Strategic Manage. J.*, 17: 197-218.
- [2] Daley, D.M. and M.L. Vasu, (1998). Fostering organizational trust in North Carolina: The pivotal role of administrators and political leaders. *Admin. Soc.*, 30: 62-84.
- [3] Driva, H., K.S. Pawar and U. Menon, (2000). Measuring product development performance in manufacturing organizations. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.*, 63: 147-159.
- [4] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2001), *Administration Management Process*, First edition, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz branch, Iran.
- [5] Feghhi Farahmand, Nasser (2001), *Executive Management Process*, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch, Iran, 641-261.
- [6] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2001), *Management in Iran*, Second edition, Governmental Management Training Publication of Tabriz, Iran
- [7] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2003), *Management of Strategic Structure of Organization*, Forth edition, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz Branch, Iran.
- [8] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2003), *Permanent Management of Organization*, First edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran.
- [9] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2009), *Organizational Strategic Plan compilation*, First edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran.
- [10] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Characteristics of Strategic Business of Business & Industrial Organizations*, *International Journal of Business and Management Tomorrow (IJBMT)*, Vol 1, No 1, India, pp 1-15
- [11] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Educational Acceleration of Organization Strategy as Work Relationship Responsibility*, *International Journal of Resaerch in Management (IJRM)*, Vol 2, No 1, UK, pp 27-39
- [12] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Effective Entrepreneurship Engineering as Capable Manager Task*, *International Journal of Resaerch in Management (IJRM)*, Vol 2, No 1, UK, pp 40-53
- [13] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Information Management System for Organizational Relationship as Guidelines of Resource Utilization*, *International Journal of Advanced Scintific and Technical Research (IJAST)*, Vol 1, No 1, UK, pp 127-140
- [14] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Inter Organizational Flowing Relationship Pattern*, *International Journal of Advanced Scintific and Technical Research (IJAST)*, Vol 1, No 1, UK, pp 141-154
- [15] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Inter Organizational Teaching as Strategic Plan*, *International Journal of Computer Application (IJCA)*, Vol 1, No 1, UK, pp 40-54
- [16] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Managers Specialized Dictionary*, First edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran.
- [17] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Organizational Customers Expectation in Business Plan Strategy*, *International Journal of Management & Business Studies (IJMBS)*, Vol 1, No 4, India, pp 11-19

- [18] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Development Continuation by Developed Managers, *International Journal of Emerging in Engineering and Development (IJETED)*, Vol 2, No 1, UK, pp 94-108
- [19] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Education Approach by Interests Supervisors, *International Journal of Emerging in Engineering and Development (IJETED)*, Vol 2, No 1, UK, pp 102-109
- [20] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Emancipating Power as Emergent Interactions for Organizational Stability, *International Journal of Emerging in Engineering and Development (IJETED)*, Vol 2, No 1, UK, pp 121-133
- [21] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Primary Activities as facilitator in the QFD performance, *African Journal of Business Management (AJBM)*, Vol 5, No 25, South Africa, pp 10258-10252
- [22] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Relationship Management by Truthful Supervisors, , *International Journal of Computer Application (IJCA)*, Vol 1, No 1, UK, pp 27-39
- [23] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Resources Planning, *International Journal of Management & Business Studies (IJMBS)*, Vol 1, No 3, India, pp 154-160
- [24] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Organizational Supporting by Human Empowerment, *European Journal of Business and Management (EJBM)*, Vol 3, No 10, USA, pp 18-26
- [25] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Project Engineering as Economical Organization Approach, *International Journal of Computer Application (IJCA)*, Vol 1, No 1, UK, pp 55-69
- [26] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Social Responsibility of Organizational Management, *Management*, Vol 1, No 1, USA, pp 25-34
- [27] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), Strategic Business Technology, *Asian Journal of Business and Management Sciences (AJBMS)*, Vol 1, No 5, USA, pp 1-8
- [28] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2011), *Technology Management of Organization*, Second edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran.
- [29] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2014), *Strategic Management of Organization* , Second edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran.
- [30] Fegh-hi farahmand, Nasser (2015), *Active and Dynamic Management of Organization* , Third edition, Frouzesh Publication, Tabriz, Iran.
- [31] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2015), *Advanced Strategic Management* , First edition, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz branch, Iran will come at the future
- [32] Fegh-hi Farahmand, Nasser (2015), *Management of Organization Techniques*, First edition, will come at the future
- [33] Friar, J.H., (1995). Competitive advantage through product performance strategy in a competitive market. *J. Prod. Strategy Manage.*, 12: 33-42.
- [34] Mela, C.F., K. Jedidi and D. Bowman, (1998). The long-term impact of promotions on consumer stockpiling behavior. *J. Market. Res.*, 35: 250-262.
- [35] Tollin, K., (2002). Customization as business strategy-a barrier the customer integration in product development. *Total Keeping quality employees with a quality strategy Manage.*, 13: 427-439.

- [36] Varma, R. and D.R. Chambers, (1990). The role of key decision making managers strategy in raising capital: Evidence from deep discount debt offers. *J. Key decision making managers Econ.*, 26: 289-298.
- [37] Veryzer, R.W., (1998). Key factors affecting customer evaluation of discontinuous new products. *J. Prod. Strategy Manage.*, 15: 136-150.
- [38] Woller, G., (2002). From market failure to keeping quality employees with a quality strategy improvement failure: Market orientation as the key to deep outreach in microfinance. *J. Int. Dev.*, 14: 305-324.
- [39] Yavas, U. and M.M. Yasin, (2001). Enhancing organizational performance in banks: A systematic approach. *J. Services Market.*, 15: 444-453.